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Abstract

Purpose—To describe the case of a patient developing corneal ectasia following LASIK for the correction of myopic astigmatism.

Materials and Methods—A 39-year-old man underwent bilateral uneventful LASIK for myopic astigmatism of −10.25 −1.75 ×040 OD and −8.00 −2.50 ×005 OS. Preoperative corneal pachymetry was 542 micrometers OD and 543 micrometers OS. Preoperative corneal topography showed bilateral oblique bowtie patterns. Central keratometry measurements were 45.12 D @ 124 / 43.87 D @ 34 OD and 44.87 D @ 78 / 43.12 D @ 168 OS. Keratoconus or forme fruste keratoconus were not present preoperatively.

Results—The residual stromal bed was 314 micrometers OD and 295 micrometers OS. Increasing astigmatism was documented progressively after LASIK. Central keratometry and topography were performed with evidence of ectasia OD at 17 months post-operatively and early evidence of ectasia OS at last follow-up of 58 months.

Conclusion—High myopia appears to be a predisposing factor in this patient. High myopia may need to be considered as an ectasia risk factor independent of amount of ablation or residual stromal bed thickness and in the absence of forme fruste keratoconus. The possibility remains that ectasia was due to an unidentified risk factor or an intrinsic corneal problem with this patient’s right eye.

Introduction

Laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) is currently the most common refractive surgical procedure for the treatment of myopia. Its safety, effectiveness, and success have been widely reported. With an increasing number of LASIK surgeries performed and with longer follow-ups, more complications are being observed. The incidence of serious complications is relatively low, but visual consequences can be dramatic considering the elective nature of this procedure. Ectasia resulting from an unknown alteration in the biomechanical strength can lead to loss of best-corrected visual acuity and the need for a corneal transplant in severe cases. Seiler et al described the first three cases of corneal ectasia after LASIK in 1998; more cases have been reported since then. Herein, we describe a case of post-LASIK ectasia with high myopia as the identifiable risk factor.

Materials and Methods

Case Report

A 39-year-old man of Middle-Eastern descent underwent refractive surgery for the correction of myopic astigmatism. Past ocular history was unremarkable. There was no history of contact lens wear. His uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) was count fingers in both eyes. Best spectacle-corrected visual acuity (BSCVA) was 20/40 in both eyes with a refraction of −10.25 −1.75 ×040 in the right eye (OD) and −8.00 −2.50 ×005 in the
left eye (OS). After instillation of Tropicamide 1% and Cycloplegnolate 0.5%, the visual acuity (VA) was 20/30 OD and 20/25 OS with a refraction of −10.25 −1.50 ×040 OD and −7.75 −3.25 ×005 OS. Three measurements of corneal pachymetry were taken with Sonogage Pachymeter (Corneo-Gage Plus Cleveland, OH, USA), and the lowest measurement was recorded. Preoperative corneal pachymetry was 542 micrometers OD and 543 micrometers OS. Preoperative Humphrey Atlas Corneal Topography Systems (version A11.2, Carl Zeiss Inc.) showed bilateral oblique bow-tie patterns (Figure 1). No other topographers were available and hence posterior float and anterior float were not obtained. Central keratometry measurements were 45.12 D @ 124 / 43.87 D @ 34 OD and 44.87 D @ 78 / 43.12 D @ 168 OS.

Results

On June 21, 2002, uneventful LASIK was performed OS first followed by OD seven days later on June 28, 2002. There were no intraoperative complications. Excimer laser ablation was performed with a VISX Star S3 IR Excimer Laser System (VISX Technology, California) after a superiorly hinged flap was made with a Hansatome microkeratome (Bausch & Lomb, Rochester, NY) using a 9.5-mm suction ring. A 160 micron Hansatome head was used being that it provided the thinnest available cut at the time of surgery. Intraoperative pachymetry was performed after lifting the flap, taking 3 central measurements, and recording the lowest. The residual stromal bed was calculated by obtaining the lowest central pachymetry and deriving the ablation depth from the laser. In this patient, the targeted flap thickness of 160 micrometers resulted in a measured flap thickness of 124 micrometers OD and 153 micrometers OS, thinner than the corresponding settings on the microkeratome. Hence, the calculated residual stromal bed was 314 micrometers OD and 295 micrometers OS after an ablation of 103 micrometers OD and 95 micrometers OS. Laser ablation OD was performed with an M Ellipse (6.0 mm × 5.4 mm diameter, 80 micrometers depth) and an M Sphere (5.5 mm diameter, 23 micrometers depth). For OS, laser ablation was performed with an M Ellipse (6.5 mm × 5.3 mm diameter, 95 micrometers depth).

The patient achieved his best level of UCVA in the early post-operative period. UCVA was 20/40 OD eight days after LASIK and 20/50 OS two weeks after LASIK. BCSCVA was 20/20 OD with −1.00 −1.00 ×020 and 20/30 OS with −1.25 −1.00 ×125 two weeks after the initial procedure OS.

Five months after LASIK, the UCVA decreased to 20/70 in both eyes. At that time the patient complained of blurred vision at distance and difficulty driving at night. No evidence of ectatic topography was found. The patient was followed and monitored for visual stability while entertaining the possibility of performing an enhancement.

The first evidence of ectasia was noted OD in September 2003, 17 months after the initial procedure. At that time, BSCVA was 20/40 OD with a manifest refraction of −6.50 −4.00 ×060 and 20/30 OS with a manifest refraction of −1.50 −1.25 ×122. His axial videokeratography map OD revealed an asymmetric bow-tie pattern with inferior steepening. The latter was also seen on the tangential map (Figure 2). In the second to third year after LASIK, the refractive error stabilized with BSCVA of 20/150 OD and 20/30 OS with −3.50 −3.25 ×070 and −0.75 −3.75 ×120, respectively, indicating progressing ectasia OU. At the end of the third year, corneal topography showed central to inferior steepening OD typical of ectasia and normal topography OS. At last follow-up of 58 months, BSCVA was 20/200 OD and 20/25 OS with −3.00 −4.50 ×075 and −5.25 −3.75 ×135, respectively. Central keratometry measured 52.37 D @ 132 / 50.50 D @42 OD and 44.25 D @38 / 39.50 D @128 OS (Table 1). Pachymetry was 463 micrometers OD and 471 micrometers OS.

Discussion

Corneal ectasia is a known complication of LASIK that can lead to devastating visual consequences. It is defined as an acquired, non-inflammatory, biomechanical outward bulging of the cornea. It results in progressive thinning and central to inferior steepening of the cornea. This usually happens in the context of a myopic shift in refraction, increasing regular astigmatism, irregular astigmatism, and eventually loss of BCVA.

Proper preoperative evaluation and patient selection are essential to decrease the risk of ectasia. Certain preoperative risk factors for ectasia have been determined. These include high myopia,2–9 high astigmatism, reduced preoperative corneal thickness, and low residual stromal bed. These have been reported in the majority of ectasia cases, but none of these characteristics alone definitively predicts the development of ectasia. In fact, ectasia can develop in eyes with low myopia and no currently identifiable risk factors.10

Documented diagnoses predisposing to corneal ectasia after excimer laser ablation are keratoconus, keratoconus suspect, or pellucid marginal degeneration. None of these conditions was present at the time of LASIK either
by exam or by corneal topography. Our patient was 39 years old at the time of the surgery with a history of a stable refraction making the diagnosis of labile keratoconus extremely unlikely. LASIK retreatment may increase the risk of ectasia as it ablates more corneal tissue and thins the cornea further.

Ectasia developed in our patient without the presence of any of the three main predisposing factors: keratoconus or forme fruste keratoconus, LASIK retreatment or a thin residual bed. Although the patient has oblique and slightly asymmetric astigmatism, it does not meet the criteria for a keratoconus-suspect. The patient’s vision was not correctable to 20/20, but instead his BCVA was 20/40 OU. In retrospect, this may be an indication of forme fruste keratoconus; nevertheless, it is not unusual for high myopes not to correct to 20/20 vision as demonstrated by laser interference fringes. The lack of ante-
rior and posterior float does not allow us to rule out forme fruste keratoconus either, but imaging of the posterior surface was not a widespread modality at the time the patient was evaluated for LASIK. In summary, myopia seems to be the only identifiable factor, and this has already been reported as a risk factor for post-LASIK ectasia. This is not a new clinical finding, but rather a possible confirmatory case of the existing literature on the subject. It is not clear from previous reports whether high myopia predisposes to ectasia because of the larger amount of tissue ablation or from other inherent factors.

In fact, risk factors related to preoperative and postoperative corneal thickness were not present in this case. Preoperative central corneal thickness was 542 micrometers OD and 543 micrometers OS, higher than the advocated 500 micrometers limit for LASIK. Machat suggested a posterior stromal thickness of no less than 50 percent of the corneal thickness. In our patient, posterior stromal thickness with respect to total corneal thickness was measured at 57.9 percent OD and 54.3 percent OS.

A residual stromal bed thickness of 250 micrometers has been advocated as a relatively safe limit for the prevention of corneal ectasia. It appears that the forward shift of the cornea may be more marked with less than 250 micrometers. This is not a strong relationship however, and one should conclude from the literature that the 250 micrometers limit is not necessarily safe.

Unknowingly cutting thicker flaps may result in thinner residual stromal bed thickness than intended leading to ectasia erroneously attributed to other risk factors. Also, the thickness of the corneal flap may vary with the same microkeratome in the same surgeon’s hands. The achieved flap in one reported case of ectasia was excessively thick leading to ectasia immediately after surgery despite avoidance of laser ablation. In many other ectasia case reports, the corneal flap was much thicker than planned. Other case reports lack intraoperative pachymetry measurements leaving a question around this important variable. We advocate routinely measuring the intraoperative bed thickness to ensure adequate room for the laser ablation. In our patient, the targeted flap thickness of 160 micrometers resulted in a measured flap thickness of 124 micrometers OD and 153 micrometers OS, thinner than the corresponding settings on the microkeratome.

The residual stromal bed thickness is also directly related to the amount of corneal tissue removed. To minimize tissue removal, surgery on this patient was per-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Months post LASIK</th>
<th>Sim K’s OD</th>
<th>Sim K’s OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/5/02</td>
<td>Pre-op</td>
<td>41.12 D @ 124 / 43.87 D @ 64</td>
<td>44.87 D @ 78 / 43.12 D @ 168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/21/02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38 D @ 124 / 37.25 D @ 106</td>
<td>38.62 D @ 34 / 39.12 D @ 142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/13/02</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>39.5 D @ 156 / 38 D @ 66</td>
<td>39.25 D @ 34 / 37.12 D @ 142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/6/03</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>41 D @ 164 / 38.8 D @ 74</td>
<td>39.62 D @ 22 / 37.37 D @ 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/6/03</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>43.5 D @ 162 / 40.5 D @ 72</td>
<td>40.37 D @ 22 / 37.5 D @ 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/04</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>47.5 D @ 154 / 42.25 D @ 64</td>
<td>40.62 D @ 24 / 37.87 D @ 114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/13/04</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>48 D @ 138 / 45.62 D @ 68</td>
<td>40.75 D @ 22 / 37.5 D @ 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/19/04</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>48.5 D @ 148 / 45.12 D @ 38</td>
<td>41.5 D @ 32 / 38 D @ 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10/05</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>48.87 D @ 146 / 46.75 D @ 56</td>
<td>41.87 D @ 30 / 38.37 D @ 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/19/07</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>52.37 D @ 132 / 50.50 @ 42</td>
<td>44.25 D @ 38 / 39.50 D @ 128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
formed with a combined ablation zone diameter of 5.5/6 mm OD and 6.5 mm OS. Based on the Munnerlyn’s formula, as the width of ablation increases, the thickness of the residual stromal bed decreases proportionately; thus, the risk of developing ectasia increases with wider ablations. In OD, 2 diopters were treated at a zone of 5.5 mm diameter thereby further minimizing tissue removal. This brought the amount of tissue removal OD (103 micrometers) quite close to OS (95 micrometers). The reduced laser ablation, in combination with a thinner corneal flap, resulted in a thicker residual stromal bed thickness in the ectatic OD (314 micrometers) compared to OS (295 micrometers).

It is possible that a safe residual stromal bed thickness is patient-dependent relative to other biomechanical properties of the cornea. It has been postulated that the posterior corneal stroma has less biomechanical strength than the anterior layers. Lee et al reported on the biomechanics of the cornea noting that there were no statistically significant changes in the post-surgical forward shift of the posterior corneal surface if the residual corneal thickness is more than 350 micrometers or if the ablation ratio per total cornea is less than 10 percent. In our case, the ablation ratio was not significantly different between the two eyes. The measured ablation was 103 micrometers OD and 95 micrometers OS representing 19.2 percent OD and 17.5 percent OS ablation ratio per total cornea. These two figures are higher than the advocated 10 percent but are essentially similar in both eyes. Yet, OD suffered earlier and more severe ectatic changes than OS. In this case report, myopia is the only identifiable risk factor, but the possibility remains that ectasia was due to an unidentified risk factor or an intrinsic corneal problem with this patient’s right eye.

**Conclusion**

In summary, post-LASIK ectasia OD was noted unilaterally initially even though other known intraoperative predisposing factors would have predicted a slightly higher ectasia risk for OS. The only predisposing factor OD was the higher level of preoperative myopia. It is possible that higher levels of myopia may be associated with different corneal biomechanical properties that predispose to ectasia. These inherent factors as well as a safe level of myopia remain to be elucidated in future studies.
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